





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,707	07/06/2001	Roger E. Darois	D0188/7126	4890
75	90 03/11/2003			
Jason M. Hone		EXAMINER BONDERER, DAVID A		
Wolf, Greenfield Federal Reserve	,			
6000 Atlantic Avenue				
Boston, MA 02	2210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		*		£ 3 0				
	Application No).	Applicant(s)					
.	09/900,707		DAROIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	D. Austin Bond		3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, ho ly within the statutory m will apply and will expir a. cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> .	July 2001 .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-	-final.						
 Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 	ance except for Ex parte Quayle	formal matters, property 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to th 153 O.G. 213.	e merits is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-64</u> is/are pending in the application	on.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	eration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46 and 50-64</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) <u>48 and 49</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				aer.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Carrinior.	•						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under	35119C & 119/:	a)-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority ander	55 0.5.0. 9 115(8	a) (a) or (i).					
•	ts have heen re	ceived						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •							
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	4) [5) [<u>6</u> . 6) [ry (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/900,707

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 46, 50, 53, 54, 56, 59, 60, and 62 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mulhauser et al.

Mulhauser discloses a mesh with an impenetrable layer that covers the side and edge comprising:

- A polypropylene mesh layer 12;
- A barrier layer (fig. 3h);
- A edge barrier layer (fig 3h); and
- A method of implanting the prosthesis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 47, 51, 52, 55, 57, 58, 61, 63, and 64 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mulhauser in view of Eldridge.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,707

Art Unit: 3732

Mulhauser teaches the use of a continuous connection between the mesh and the barrier.

This is considered to be a continuous stitching.

Mulhauser lacks the use of ePTFE for the barrier layer. Eldridge teaches the use of ePTFE for the barrier layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Mulhauser with the ePTFE as taught by Eldridge since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended us as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 48 and 49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Specification

6. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/900,707

Art Unit: 3732

(4) if a mixture, its ingredients;

(5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is draw to the improvement of the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nicolo discloses a hernia prosthesis that has a cord protector that inhibits tissue growth.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 703.306.5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on 703.308.2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687 for regular communications and 703.305.7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0873.

March 5, 2003

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER